

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/713,834	11/15/2000	Hassan S. Hashemi	00CON159P	1030	
25700	7590 09/01/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP 26522 LA ALAMEDA AVENUE, SUITE 360 MISSION VIEJO, CA 92691			ZARNEKE, DAVID A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2829		
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/713,834	HASHEMI, HASSAN S.		
, and the same of	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David A. Zarneke	2829		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•			
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the		
<ul><li>(d) they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	` ' <del></del>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly		
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:		•		
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)☐ disapproved by th	ne Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>		
10. Other:		David A. Zarneke Primary Examiner 8/24/04		
S. Palent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)  Advis	ory Action	Part of Paper No. 0804		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the issue raised was previously addressed in the nonfinal rejection dated 10/27/03. Selna does indeed teach the heat spreader (10C) directly attached to the substrate, consult the nonfinal for further details.